<u> </u>	Form 504	
DEPART	MENT OF COM	MÉRCE
	OAST AND GEODETIC SI	
ت. 3. C	OAST AND SECRET	DEC 18 19
A Stat	e: NowJorsey	
11-5613	C. 144	
DESC	RIPTIVE RE	PORT
DEOC		
100	*	4387
y <b>drogru</b> phi	Sheet No A.	1 45 65
#		1
	LOCALITY	- <del>[</del> 4]
		2
htttle	leg Harbery N.J.	********
		·
	•	
Beech H	even Inlet	
4		
	N. Carlotte	
	1,39-	
	¥, 49	
<u> </u>	``.' 	- 
	e de la companya de l	
75	V16-30 188	
	192 🛊	
	No.	
	A STATE OF THE STA	
F. c	CHIEF OF PAR	ŢΥŧ
R 12 max	A	
- 4 tr	101X	

POST OFFICE ADDRESS:

TELEGRAPH ADDRESS:

EXPRESS OFFICE:

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT
to
ACCOMPANY HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET
No: 1
BEACH HAVEN INLET SURVEY 1924.

This sheet embraces the water area of Beach Haven Inlet proper, with full development of the three fathom curve to seaward, and development of the depth curves, on Long Beach Island for about a mile to the north of the point of land, on the outside soast, and development on the inside for about half a mile. On the south the development was carried for about half a mile beyond the point of land both on the inside and outside soast.

AUTHORITY:

Instructions dated October 5, 1924, to E.H.Bernsteine

SURVEY METHODS:

The usual methods of the Service were used to effect the survey.

Lines were run nearly normal to the coast for good development and also feasability, it being found that the sounding launch was more easily controlled running more nearly with the current than crosswise to it. Additional development is given to the point of Long Beach Island by radiating lines run on ranges normal to the shore which system also crosses the main channel close to this point at right angles. A few additional lines would have been desirable at two or three places on the sheet, but the necessity of releasing the sounding launch for service at the Coast Guard Station ande this impracticable.

#### GENERAL DESCRIPTION:

From a distance the water of the whole inlet seems to be breaking, however a vessel drawing 7 ft. could make the channel surving around the north point of land, a vessel drawing 4 ft. could effect an entrance by using the channel through the middle of the inlet and crossing over to the main channel over the strip of 5 ft. depth which separates the two. No ranges can be given, but the areas inside the 6ft. curve are continually breaking in even salm weather, and by avoiding these areas an entrance is easily effected. In an emergency the central channel may be useful to a small boat especially in a north-east blow.

#### DANGERS:

The sea breaks over the areas inside the Y 6 ft. surve at practically all times, but ofcourse mare so at low tide. The main c channel 1/2 mile to the south of the north point of land has moderate tide rips at all times except at slack water, which lasts but a short time.

The current through the above mentioned channel is at least three knots at full ebb or flow. Great difficulty in running sounding lines was experienced in the deep areas of the channels on assount of the surrent.

A remarkable thing found in this inlet is that at full currents the failed boils at places as much as 6 ft. in depth, to the extent of endangering stopping up the circulating pump of the motor beat used for sounding.

#### ANCHORAGES:

The Bond's Coast Guard Station uses the deeper area about 250 meters off shation " Lag " for anchoring its small boats, approaching this anchorage from the north.

#### LOW WATER LINES:

The low water lines shown on the topographic sheet for this region should be used in conjunction with those shown on the hydrographic sheet.

#### GENERAL:

A list of "Landmarks", and of " Plane-table Positions " accompanies the topographic sheet for this survey.

#### Tides:

A plain tide staff was established in the cove near station " Tie ". Two 24 hour sonsecutive readings were taken on this station for comparison with the automatic guage at Atlantic City. It was found that at the very lowest tides the readings on the first staff were not reliable; therefor a second staff was established near the first one, in deeper water, however this was only for the purpose of getting a value for the low water, all tides for times when soundings were being taken are reliable, for the reduction of soundings.

Respectfully submitted,

Lieutenant, U.S.C.& G. Survey,

## TABLE 5 OF STATISTICS SHEET A

Date 19	<b>72</b> 4	#etter	Volum•	Positions	Soundings	Miles _statute.	Vessel !
October	15		1	91	487	5.0	C.Guard launch
•	16	b	1	123	656	5.8	<b>97</b> 0
•	17		1	92	503	9.5	94 H
Ħ	17	•	2	64	290	3.5	e 11
Ħ	20	d	2	84	491	7.7	н «
R	21		2	36	197	9.1	n n
•	22	ŗ	2	128	664	11.8	H H
n	23	g	3	159	872	13.2	
	24	h	3	135	694	10.8	19 IS
	25	i	3	75	375	9,1	19 11
1	<b>2</b> 6	i	4	56	<b>25</b> 6	5.4	H 39
Total				955	5485	86.7	

January 13, 1925.

Division of Hydrography and Topography:

Division of Charts:

Tide reducers are approved in volumes of sounding records for

HYDROGRAPHIC SHEET 4367

Locality; Beach Haven Inlet, Little Egg Harbor, N. J.

Chief of Party: E. H. Bernstein in 1924

Plane of reference is mean low water, reading

0.0 ft. on tide staff at No. 1, at Beach Haven Inlet
6.8 No. 2, " " "

For reduction of soundings, condition of records satisfactory except as checked below:

- 1. Locality and sublocality of survey omitted.
- 2. Month and day of month omitted.
- 3. Time meridian not given at beginning of day's work.
- 4. Time (whether A.M. or P.M.) not given at beginning of day's work.
- 5. Soundings (whether in feet or fathoms) not clearly shown in record.
- 6. Leadline correction entered wrong column.
- 7. Field reductions entered in "Office"column.
- 8. Location of tide gauge not given at beginning of each day's work.
- 9. Leadline corrections not clearly stated.
- 10. Kind of sounding tubeused not stated.
- 11. Sounding tube No. entered in column of "Soundings" instead of "Remarks".
- 12. Legibility of record could be improved.
- 13. Remarks

CodyOhief, Division of Tides and Currents.

# Report on Hyd. Sheer 4387.

The character and completeness of the records, might have been considered satisfactory but for the ornisation of fottom characteristics.

The protracting, plotting of soundings were done satisfactority.

The sheer was clean, legible and in general, satisfactory as to draftomouship.

Respectfully submitted, G. Disegari June 23, 1925.

.

ADDRESS THE DIRECTOR
U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

AND REPER TO NO. 4-DPM

arc

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

WASHINGTON Jul

July 2, 1925.

SECTION OF FIELD RECORDS

Report on Hydrographic Sheet No. 4387

Beach Haven Inlet, New Jersey

Surveyed in October, 1924

Instructions dated October 5, 1924

Chief of Party, E. H. Bernstein.

Surveyed by E. H. B.

Protracted and pletted by P. R. Hathorne.

Verified and inked by G. Risegari.

- 1. The records conform to the requirements of the General Instructions except that bottom characteristics and boats' courses were entirely omitted.
- 2. The plan and character of development satisfy the General Instructions.
- 3. The plan and extent of development are not in accord with the specific instructions which call for a system of lines similar to that of the last survey. The main system of lines on the present survey is practically at right angles to the previous survey. However, the system used should give equally as good a development.
  - (b) Additional lines should have been run in the vicinity of the north spit to better develop the slope of the beach as called for in the specific instructions.
  - (c) Some of the lines should have been extended further offshore in order completely to develop the 3 fathom curve.
  - (d) In the main channel additional soundings should have been taken to better develop the area within the 18 ft. curve. Also in the deep area south of this channel there should have been a greater development.
- 4. Differences of 1 and 2 ft. in the soundings at crossings are quite common and there are several instances of greater differences.

There are a few places on the sheet where the soundings appear to be in error 1 fathom, due perhaps to the misreading of the leadline. It was impossible to rectify any of these discrepancies in the office and the survey must stand on its own merits.

- 5. The usual depth curves could generally be drawn except the 18 ft. curve offshore.
- 6. The field plotting was completed to the extent prescribed in the General Instructions.
- 7. No part of the field drafting had to be done over by the office cartographer.
- 8. The area is too changeable to make any comparison with previous surveys. There are no adjacent contemporary surveys.
- 9. No further development is required as the area is constantly changing and any additional work would be of no value to fill in gaps.
- 10. It is interesting to note that the north point of Tucker Beach has in one year (difference in time between the two surveys) moved approximately 1/2 mile to the southwestward. The area southwest of the north spit appears to be shealing and the main channel has moved approximately 150 meters to the southwestward.
- 12. Reviewed by A. L. Shalowitz, June, 1925.

### HYDROGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The finished Hydrographic Sheet is to be accompanied by the following title sheet, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey.

Register No.(A) 4387
State
General locality . Little Egg. Harber
Locality Deach Haven Inlet
Chief of party E.H.Berastein
Surveyed by E.H.Bernstein
Date of survey Oetober, 1924
Scale
Soundings in Foot
Plane of reference
Protracted by R.R. Hat Soundings in pencil by P.R.H.
Inked by Verified by
Records accompanying sheet (check those forwarded):
Des. report, Tide books, Marigrams, Boat sheets,
Sounding books, Wire-drag books, Photographs.
Data from other sources affecting sheet

#### Remarks:

The points on this sheet are sorrestly plotted on the right projection. ( See title sheet for topographic sheet for this survey.)